

## A comparative study of outcome of preterm neonate with and without history of preterm premature rupture of membrane

S Khanal,<sup>1</sup> W Zhang,<sup>1</sup> N Rajbhandari Shrestha<sup>2</sup> and GR Dahal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Henan, China,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan, China,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatric Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan, China

**Corresponding author:** Sirjana Khanal, Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Henan 450001, China, e-mail: khanalsirju@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

The aim of the study was to find out the neonatal outcome of infants born with history of preterm premature rupture of membrane (PPROM) and to compare with infants born without history of PPRM. It was a retrospective study that included 187 preterm newborn with history of PPRM admitted in neonatal intensive care unit of the third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University from January 2008 to December 2008. Another 150 preterm newborns from same department during same period were taken as control. Patient demographics, patient's problem, investigation, management and outcome were recorded from medical record department and compared. Chi square and t test were used for statistical analysis. There was no statistical difference of gestational age, mode of delivery, birth weight and gender between case and control group. Respiratory system related problems like birth asphyxia, respiratory distress syndrome, apnea and pneumonia were common in both group but not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ). However, need of oxygen supply and mechanical ventilation was significantly more ( $p<0.05$ ) in case group. Neonatal death was more in case group (5.3%) than in control group (0.7%) and was statistically significant ( $p<0.001$ ). The morbidity of preterm neonate does not entirely depend on history of PPRM than prematurity itself. However severity of disease and death is more with history of PPRM.

**Keywords:** Preterm birth, premature rupture of membrane, neonatal outcome.

### INTRODUCTION

Preterm premature rupture of membrane (PPROM) is defined as rupture of fetal membrane before onset of labor at less than 37 completed weeks of gestation.<sup>1</sup> Incidence of PPRM ranges from 3.0-10.0% of all deliveries.<sup>2-4</sup> There are numerous risk factors for PPRM, such as physiologic changes, intrauterine infection at early gestational age, lower socioeconomic status of pregnant women, inadequate prenatal care and inadequate nutrition during pregnancy, sexually transmitted infections, vaginal bleeding, smoking during pregnancy etc.<sup>2,5-7</sup> Both mother and fetus are at greater risk of infection after PPRM. Approximately 60.0% of cases will go in to labor within first week of PPRM at 24 weeks and about 80% at 34 weeks.<sup>8</sup> One of the most common complication of PPRM is early delivery. Pre term delivery results in still birth or neonatal morbidity and mortality. Surviving neonates may develop respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), birth asphyxia, apnea, sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and neurologic disorder.<sup>2,9,10</sup> Preterm delivery occurs in almost 11.0% of all births.<sup>2</sup> Prematurity itself is a cause of morbidity in neonates. In premature newborn, the organs are not developed to maturity level. We conducted a retrospective comparative study to find out the neonatal

outcome in preterm babies born with or without history of PPRM.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

It is a retrospective study from the Pediatric Department of 3<sup>rd</sup> affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China. During a period of January 2008 to December 2008, 187 preterm neonates admitted in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) with history of PPRM were eligible for this study. Another 150 preterm neonates born without history of PPRM (period of gestation and parity matched) was taken as control from the same NICU during the same period the comparison. Multiple pregnancy, full term birth and gross congenital anomalies were excluded from the study. Clinical information about the maternal history, history of PPRM, problem seen in newborn, investigations, management and outcome were recorded from the medical record department.

The gestational age was classified into two groups: very preterm (28 -32 weeks) and preterm (33- 36 weeks). Composite neonatal major and minor morbidity was defined as previously used criteria.<sup>4</sup> Composite neonatal major morbidity is presence of any of the following – RDS, sepsis, seizure, NEC, pneumonia, need of artificial

Table-1: Patient Characteristics

Variables	Case(n= 187)	Control(n=150)	X <sup>2</sup> /t-test	p	OR	95.0% CI
Mean Gestational age (weeks)	32.67±2.4	32.81±2.2	0.517	0.335	-	-
Mode of Delivery						
Normal (%)	111 (59.4)	80 (53.3)	1.231	0.267	1.278	0.828-1.972
Gender						
Male (%)	114 (61)	84 (56)	0.846	0.358	1.227	0.793-1.898
Birth weight (grams)	2160±620	2173±790	0.168	0.867	-	-
AN Corticosteroid						
Given (%)	80 (42.7)	64 (42.7)	1.54	0.125	1.327	0.848-2.076

ventilation. Composite neonatal minor morbidity is presence of any of the following – Jaundice, transient hypo or hyperglycemia.

The outcome was defined as discharge with recovery, discharge on request of the parents as they did not want to continue treatment in the hospital and death in the hospital during treatment.

A statistical calculation was performed by SPSS 11.5 for windows. Chi square test was used for categorical data and t test for continuous data. Odds ratio and confidence interval was calculated where appropriate. P value less than 0.05 was taken as significant.

## RESULTS

The total numbers of neonates included in the study were 187 in case group and 150 in control group. Patient characteristics including gestational age, mode of delivery and birth weight were similar in case and control groups. Male neonates were more than the female but statistically not significant. The mothers who received antenatal corticosteroid were not significantly different between case and control. However there was a significant difference between preterm and very preterm group ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table-1).

Out of the total ill babies, the most common illness was birth asphyxia in case (40.6%) and control (45.3%) group. Other respiratory problems like RDS, apnea and pneumonia were also high in both groups. All these respiratory problems were equally seen in both groups

and statistically not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). Similarly seizure and NEC were also found to be not statistically significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). The major composite morbidities include 142 (75.9%) patients in case group and 102 (68%) in control group. It failed to be significantly different.

Jaundice is a component of minor composite morbidity. In case group there were 76 neonates (40.6%) while in control group 106 (70.7%) with significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ). Details are shown in Table-2.

For all the ill babies in both the case and control groups, blood glucose, blood for culture/sensitivity and CRP investigations were performed. A positive blood culture was detected in 10 (5.3%) cases and 8 (6.7%) control positive. Blood culture and CRP was not found to be significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, there was significant difference in blood glucose level ( $p < 0.05$ ) as more abnormal level was found in control group (Table-3).

The treatment provided to ill babies was analyzed. Among the treatments, administration of oxygen, mechanical ventilation, antibiotics was more commonly used in case group ( $p < 0.05$ ). Phototherapy was used in more control group ( $p < 0.05$ ). Use of CPAP and pulmonary surfactant was not statistically significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). Treatment and managements are shown in Table-4.

Out of the total ill babies, 153 (81.8%) were recovered in case group and 147 (98.0%) in control group. The no.

Table-2: Problem seen in newborn babies

Variable	Case(n= 187)	Control(n=150)	X <sup>2</sup> /t-test	p	OR	95.0% CI
Birth Asphyxia	76 (40.6)	68 (45.3)	0.749	0.387	0.826	0.535-1.275
RDS	56 (29.9)	52 (34.7)	0.852	0.356	0.806	0.509-1.275
Apnea	55 (29.4)	53 (35.6)	1.442	0.230	0.755	0.477-1.195
Pneumonia	45 (24.1)	37 (24.7)	0.016	0.898	0.968	0.255-0.617
Seizure	22 (11.8)	22 (14.7)	0.617	0.432	0.776	0.411-1.463
NEC	12 (6.4)	4 (2.7)	2.589	0.108	2.503	0.790-7.926
Jaundice	76 (40.6)	106 (70.7)	30.20	0.0001	0.284	0.180-0.449

Table-3: Results of investigation

Variable	Case(n= 187)	Control(n=150)	X <sup>2</sup> /t-test	p	OR	95.0% CI
Blood culture						
Positive (+)	10 (5.3)	8 (5.3)	0.248	0.618	0.784	0.300 -2.046
C reactive Protein (+)	15 (8)	10 (6.7)	0.222	0.637	1.221	0.532-2.802
Blood Glucose						
Normal	147 (78.6)	74 (49.3)	25.52	0.0001	3.767	2.219-6.397
Abnormal	40 (21.4)	76 (50.7)				

of ill patient discharged without recovery on request of parents were 24 in case group and 2 in control group. The no. of neonatal death recorded were 10 in case group and 1 in control group. The final outcome of baby was significantly better in control group ( $p < 0.001$ ). The mean hospital stay of the babies was  $14 \pm 13.2$  days and  $14.4 \pm 10.3$  days in case and control group respectively and is not significant ( $p > 0.05$ )

## DISCUSSION

Preterm birth is a major health problem. Incidence of preterm birth ranges from 5.0-12.0% in most of the countries.<sup>11-13</sup> Prematurity is a major contributor for perinatal morbidity and mortality. Approximately 70% of neonatal mortality and 75.0% of morbidity results from preterm birth.<sup>14,15</sup> The incidence of preterm delivery which has increased in recent years is associated with various epidemiological and clinical risk factors.<sup>16</sup> Most preterm birth occurs after PPROM. PPROM results in loss of natural protection of fetus and intrauterine content. Both fetus and mother are at risk of infection. PPROM occurs in 2.0-4.0% of all pregnancies.<sup>17-20</sup> Approximately 10.0% of perinatal deaths are directly or indirectly attributable to PPROM.<sup>21</sup>

One of the important causes of death in preterm birth is pulmonary hypoplasia. This is related to gestational age of PPROM, early the gestational age higher the risk of pulmonary hypoplasia. Incidence of pulmonary hypoplasia is 22.0% at 20-24 weeks and only 3% at 25-28 weeks of gestation.<sup>22</sup> Noor *et al* found that risk of PPROM was 43.5% in 30-35 weeks and 35.2% in 35-37 weeks of gestation.<sup>17</sup> Pulmonary hypoplasia results in RDS, apnea, pneumonia and asphyxia. Though there

are various modern techniques and specific intervention that has added advantage in improving perinatal care, still outcome is very poor.<sup>12</sup> In many series,<sup>23,24</sup> the cut off line for poor outcome is 24 weeks and not recommended for prolongation of pregnancy.

Preterm labor before 34 weeks of gestation needs a course of antenatal corticosteroid. However, it is not recommended in PPROM with chorioamnionitis.<sup>25</sup> Crowley *et al* in a meta analysis, showed low incidence of RDS, periventricular hemorrhage and NEC after steroid administration.<sup>26</sup> Similarly many other studies revealed better neonatal outcome after administration of steroid.<sup>27,28</sup> In our study, administration of antenatal corticosteroid was not significantly different in case and control. But there was a difference when compared with term and very preterm newborn.

The incidence of cesarean section varied in different studies ranging from 14.0%<sup>29</sup> to 65.0%.<sup>30</sup> There was no difference in cases with or without PPROM. We reported a high caesarean section rate of 40.6% and 46.7% in case and control. The slightly higher male birth represents the current trends in many other literatures.<sup>18,20,31</sup>

In prematurity, lungs are immature and the problems related to lungs are high. Furman *et al* reported that RDS was affected by prematurity itself rather than the occurrence of PPROM.<sup>32</sup> Rate of different problems in recent publications are shown in table 6. The difference in rate is because of difference in selection of patient characteristics for study. In our study, birth asphyxia, RDS, apnea and pneumonia were high in both case and control group. Infection related problems like:

Table-4: Treatment measures

Variable	Case(n= 187)	Control(n=150)	X <sup>2</sup> /t-test	p	OR	95.0% CI
Oxygen supply	157 (84)	74 (49.3)	45.39	0.0001	5.304	3.199-8.794
Mechanical ventilation	33 (17.6)	8 (5.3)	11.934	0.001	3.828	1.711- 8.564
CPAP	31 (16.6)	17 (11.3)	1.874	0.171	1.555	0.824-2.934
Pulmonary Surfactant	40 (21.4)	23 (15.3)	2.009	0.156	1.503	0.854-2.644
Phototherapy	75 (40.1)	92 (61.3)	15.002	0.0001	0.422	0.272 -0.655
Antibiotic	180 (96.3)	129 (86)	11.494	0.001	4.186	1.728-10.140

Table-5: Neonatal outcome

Variable	Case(n= 187)	Control(n=150)	X <sup>2</sup> /t-test	p	OR	95.0% CI
Recovered	153 (81.8)	147 (98)				
Discharge on request	24 (12.8)	2 (1.3)				
Died	10 (5.3)	1 (0.7)	22.3	0.0001		

pneumonia, NEC and sepsis were high in case group but still not sufficient to make a level of significance. Neonatal outcome largely depends on fetal gestational age than PPRM itself.<sup>20,33,34</sup> From this we can draw a conclusion that PPRM is not an independent risk factor for most of the neonatal problems than the prematurity itself. This finding is consistent with other studies.<sup>30,35</sup>

On the other hand, jaundice and transient hypo/hyperglycemia was significantly more in control group. Probably, the neonates were admitted for these problems. Both jaundice and transient abnormal blood glucose are component of composite minor morbidity. Positive blood culture and CRP was found in less no. of patients and no difference in case and control group.

Several studies report a higher rate of morbidity in newborn after PPRM.<sup>36,37</sup> The composite major morbidity was 76.0% in patients with PPRM. The patients in control group were also premature and had composite major morbidity up to 67.9%. There is a difference but it was not statistical significant.

Respiratory support in the form of oxygen administration and mechanical ventilation was needed significantly more in case group. use of CPAP and pulmonary surfactant was also more in case group. However they

fail to reach a level of significance. The final outcome as death was significantly more in case (5.3%) than in control (0.7%). Paumier *et al* found that the survival rate was approximately 50.0% at 26 weeks of gestation and 84.6% after 26 weeks. It reaches 97.5% at 30 weeks.<sup>38</sup> In a study by Newman *et al*, PPRM was associated with adverse perinatal outcome at 23-27 weeks of gestation.<sup>39</sup>

The individual morbidity of birth asphyxia, RDS, apnea, pneumonia, seizure, NEC and sepsis do not entirely depend on PPRM, rather than prematurity itself. Newborn with history of PPRM suffers more serious illness and need more respiratory support. The final outcome of PPRM is worse than without PPRM.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Wang Diao, Li Wenxia, Hu Jinting of Pediatric Department, Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China and Dr. Sunil Shrestha for their support for this study.

REFERENCES

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Premature rupture of membranes. Practice bulletin number 1. Washington, DC: *Amer J Obstet Gynecol*; 1998.
2. Medina TM, Hill DA. Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes: Diagnosis and Management. *Amer Fam*

Table-6: Rates of different illness due to PPRM revealed in few recent publications:

Author(s)	Patient Characteristics	RDS	Pneumonia	Sepsis	NEC	Death
Paumier <i>et al</i> <sup>38</sup>	PPROM before 32 weeks (spontaneous labor)	23.5%	-	5.9%	2.0%	2.9%
Nili F <i>et al</i> <sup>30</sup>	PPROM < 37 weeks	21.5%	3.7%	-	-	-
Medina <i>et al</i> <sup>2</sup>	PPROM <37 weeks	35.0%	-	-	-	1.2%
Mehmet A <i>et al</i> <sup>18</sup>	PPROM with chorioamnionitis	48.0%	-	-	29.0%	29.0%
Kifah Al <i>et al</i> <sup>36</sup>	PROM with antibiotic intake	25.0%	-	-	11.3%	-
Tanir HM <i>et al</i> <sup>40</sup>	PPROM & preterm delivery	14.9%	-	33.3%	-	19.2%
Yang <i>et al</i> <sup>20</sup>	PPROM at 16 to 26 weeks	100.0%	15.8%	42.0%	13.2%	17.8%
Our study*	PPROM <37 weeks admitted at NICU	29.9%	24.1%	-	6.4%	5.3%

\* However in our study birth asphyxia was reported high (40.6%) and Apnea 29.4% which are not shown in other studies mentioned above.

- Physician* 2006; 73: 659-64.
3. Hussein AE. Prelabor Rupture of the Membranes (PROM); A tailored Guideline. *Ain Shams J Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 2: 275-6.
  4. Ramsey PS, Lieman JM, Brumfield CG et al. Chorioamnionitis increases neonatal morbidity in pregnancies complicated by preterm premature rupture of membranes. *Amer J Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 192: 1162-6.
  5. French JI, McGregor JA. The pathobiology of premature rupture of membranes. *Semin Perinatol* 1996; 20: 344-68.
  6. McGregor JA, French JI. Evidence-based prevention of preterm birth and rupture of membranes: infection and inflammation. *J Soc Obstet Gynaecol Can* 1997; 19: 835-52.
  7. Novak-Antolic Z, Pajntar M, Verdenik I. Rupture of the membranes and postpartum infection. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1997; 71: 141-6.
  8. David James. Preterm prelabour rupture of membranes. Obstetrics for pediatricians. *Arch Dis Child* 1991; 66: 812-5.
  9. Mercer BM, Arheart KL. Antimicrobial therapy in expectant management of preterm premature rupture of the membranes. *Lancet* 1995; 346: 1271-9.
  10. Bengston JM, VanMareter LJ, Barss VA et al. Preganancy outcome after premature rupture of the membranes at or before 26 weeks gestation. *Obstet Gynecol* 1989; 73: 921-7.
  11. Kenyon S, Boulvain M, Neilson J. Antibiotics for preterm rupture of membranes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD001058. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001058.
  12. Janet Tucker, William McGuire. Epidemiology of preterm birth, clinical review. *Brit Med J* 2004; 329: 675-8.
  13. World Health Organization (1970). The prevention of perinatal mortality and morbidity. WHO technical report series (report 457). WHO, Geneva.
  14. Hack M, Fanaroff AA. Outcomes of extremely immature children—a perinatal dilemma. *New England J Med* 1993; 329:1649-50.
  15. Wen SW, Smith G, Yang Q et al. Walker M. Epidemiology of preterm birth and neonatal outcome. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2004; 9: 429-35.
  16. Michael MS, John JM. Preterm delivery. *Lancet* 2002; 360: 1489-97.
  17. Noor S, Nazar AF, Bashir R et al. Prevalence of PPRM and its outcome. *J Ayub Med Coll* 2007; 19: 14-7.
  18. Mehmet A, Osmanađaođlu, Sevilay Ünal et al. Chorioamnionitis risk and neonatal outcome in preterm premature rupture of membranes. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2005; 271: 33-9.
  19. Modena AB, Kaihura C, Fieni S. Prelabour rupture of the membranes: recent evidence. *Acta Biomed Ateneo Parmense* 2004; 75(Suppl 1): 5-10.
  20. Yang LC, Donald RT, Howard HK et al. Maternal and Fetal Outcomes of Spontaneous Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes. *J Amer Osteopath Assoc* 2004; 104: 537-42.
  21. Allen SR. Epidemiology of premature rupture of the fetal membranes. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1991; 34: 685-93.
  22. Carroll S, Sebire N, Nicolaidis K. Preterm prelabour amniorrhexis. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 1996; 8: 441-8.
  23. Everest NJ, Jacobs SE, Davis PG et al. Outcomes following prolonged preterm premature rupture of the membranes. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2008; 93: F207-11.
  24. Gernot T, Omar S, Thomas E et al. Premature rupture of membranes with oligo- or anhydramnios before 24 weeks of gestation and the chances of fetal survival. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2004; 116: 692-4.
  25. Xavier M, Gian Carlo DR, Ann S et al. Guideline for the use of antenatal corticosteroids for fetal maturation. Recommendations and guidelines for perinatal practice. *J Perinat. Med* 2008; 36: 191-6.
  26. Crowley P. Update on the antenatal steroid meta-analysis. *Amer J Obstet Gynecol* 1995; 173: 269-74.
  27. Mercer, Brian M. Preterm Premature Rupture of the Membranes. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003; 101: 178-93.
  28. Harding JE, Pang J, Knight DB et al. Do antenatal corticosteroids help in the setting of preterm rupture of membranes? *Amer J Obstet Gynecol* 2001; 184: 131-9.
  29. Charles PJ, Muriel R, Charles PJ et al. A prospective population based study of 598 cases of PPRM between 24 and 34 weeks gestation: description, management and mortality (Dominos cohort). *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2005; 121: 164-70.
  30. F. Nili, AA. Shams Ansari. Neonatal complications of Premature rupture of membranes. *Acta Medica Iranica* 2003; 41: 175-9.
  31. Caslaz DM, Marlow N, Speidel BD. Outcome of resuscitation following unexpected apparent stillbirth. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 1998; 78: F112-5.
  32. Alexander M, Cox SM. Clinical course of premature rupture of membranes. *Semin Perinatol* 1996; 20: 369-74.
  33. Pristauz G, Bader AA, Schwantzer G et al. Assessment of risk factors for survival of neonates born after second-trimester PPRM. *Early Hum Dev* 2009; 85: 177-80.
  34. Pasquier JC, Picaud JC, Rabilloud M et al. Neonatal outcomes after elective delivery management of preterm premature rupture of the membranes before 34 weeks' gestation (DOMINOS study). *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2009; 143: 18-23.
  35. Miller HC, Jekel JF. Epidemiology of spontaneous premature rupture of membranes: Factors in preterm births. *Yale J Biol Med* 1989; 62: 241.
  36. Kifah Al-Qa'Qa', Fatin Al-Awaysheh. Neonatal outcome and prenatal antibiotic treatment in premature rupture of membranes. *Pakistan J Med Sci* 2005; 21: 441-4.
  37. Kodkany BS, Telang MA. Premature rupture of membranes. A study of 100 cases. *J Obstet Gynaecol India* 1991; 41: 492-6.
  38. Paumier A, Gras-Leguen C, Branger B et al. Premature rupture of membranes before 32 weeks of gestation: prenatal prognosis factors. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil* 2008; 36: 748-56.
  39. Newman DE, Paamoni-Keren O, Press F et al. Neonatal outcome in preterm deliveries between 23 and 27 weeks' gestation with and without preterm premature rupture of membranes. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2008 Nov 25. [Epub ahead of print]
  40. Tanir HM, Sener T, Tekin N et al. Preterm premature rupture of membranes and neonatal outcome prior to 34 weeks of gestation. *Int'l J Gynaecol Obstet* 2003; 82: 167-72.